

Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

5-(4-Pyridyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazole-2(3H)-thione

Xu-Feng Liu^a and Xing-Hai Liu^{b*}

^aDepartment of Chemical Engineering, Ningbo University of Technology, Ningbo 315016, People's Republic of China, and ^bCollege of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310014, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: xhliu@zjut.edu.cn


Received 11 December 2010; accepted 12 December 2010

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 113$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.002$ Å; R factor = 0.028; wR factor = 0.076; data-to-parameter ratio = 17.1.

The title compound $\text{C}_7\text{H}_5\text{N}_3\text{S}_2$, occurs as the thione tautomer in the solid state; the dihedral angle between the pyridine and thiadiazole ring planes is $2.08(6)^\circ$. In the crystal, molecules are linked by $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{N}$ hydrogen bonds, generating $C(8)$ chains propagating in $[010]$.

Related literature

For details of the synthesis, see: Song *et al.* (2005). For the biological activity of related compounds, see: Liu *et al.* (2007, 2009a,b,c).



Experimental

Crystal data

$\text{C}_7\text{H}_5\text{N}_3\text{S}_2$
 $M_r = 195.26$
 Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
 $a = 7.837(3)$ Å
 $b = 15.971(5)$ Å
 $c = 6.694(2)$ Å
 $\beta = 103.680(4)^\circ$

$V = 814.1(5)$ Å³
 $Z = 4$
 Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 0.59$ mm⁻¹
 $T = 113$ K
 $0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.08$ mm

Data collection

Rigaku Saturn CCD diffractometer
 Absorption correction: multi-scan
 (*CrystalClear*; Rigaku/MS, 2005)
 $T_{\min} = 0.891$, $T_{\max} = 0.954$

8141 measured reflections
 1928 independent reflections
 1642 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.033$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.028$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.076$
 $S = 1.06$
 1928 reflections
 113 parameters
 1 restraint

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.44$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.21$ e Å⁻³

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
$\text{N1}-\text{H1}\cdots\text{N3}^i$	0.90 (1)	1.85 (1)	2.7395 (19)	169 (2)

Symmetry code: (i) $-x, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: *CrystalClear* (Rigaku/MS, 2005); cell refinement: *CrystalClear*; data reduction: *CrystalClear*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

This work was supported financially by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21002090) and the Key Laboratory of Pesticide Chemistry and Applications, Ministry of Agriculture (MOA), Beijing, People's Republic of China (No. MOAPCA201005) and the Scientific Research Fund of Zhejiang Education Department (Y201018479).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HB5770).

References

- Liu, X. H., Chen, P. Q., Wang, B. L., Li, Y. H., Wang, S. H. & Li, Z. M. (2007). *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **17**, 3784–3788.
- Liu, X. H., Shi, Y. X., Ma, Y., He, G. R., Dong, W. L., Zhang, C. Y., Wang, B. L., Wang, S. H., Li, B. J. & Li, Z. M. (2009a). *Chem. Biol. Drug Des.* **73**, 320–327.
- Liu, X. H., Shi, Y. X., Ma, Y., Zhang, C. Y., Dong, W. L., Li, P., Wang, B. L., Li, B. J. & Li, Z. M. (2009b). *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **44**, 2782–2786.
- Liu, X. H., Zhang, C. Y., Guo, W. C., Li, Y. H., Chen, P. Q., Wang, T., Dong, W. L., Sun, H. W. & Li, Z. M. (2009c). *J. Enzym. Inhib. Med. Chem.* **24**, 545–552.
- Rigaku/MS (2005). *CrystalClear*. Rigaku/MS Inc., The Woodlands, Texas, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Song, B. A., Chen, C. J., Yang, S., Jin, L. H., Xue, W., Zhang, S. M., Zou, Z. H., Hu, D. Y. & Liu, G. (2005). *Acta Chem. Sin.* **18**, 1720–1726.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, o202 [doi:10.1107/S1600536810052116]

5-(4-Pyridyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazole-2(3H)-thione

X.-F. Liu and X.-H. Liu

Comment

Thiadiazoles had excellent biological activities, such as fungicide, KARI (Liu *et al.*, 2007, 2009a,b,c). Meanwhile, some nicotine structure are also exhibited good biological activity. In continued our work, a thiadiazoles derivatives had been synthesized. The structure was confirmed by X-ray crystallography.

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis reveals that the title compound crystallizes in the monoclinic space group P2(1)/c. As shown in Fig. 1, the pyridine ring and the thiadiazole ring are nearly in the same plane [dihedral angle = 2.1 °]. As shown in Fig. 2, the crystal structure is stabilized by weak N—H···N intermolecular interactions.

Experimental

Potassium hydroxide (0.11 mol) was dissolved in minimum amount of ethanol, and 4-nicotinehydrazide (0.1 mol) was added to it. The reaction mixture was cooled to 0–5 °C followed by dropwise addition of carbon disulfide (0.11 mol). After addition, the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min to afford solid potassium dithiocarbazate salt. It was filtered, washed with EtOH, dried, and used as such for further reaction. Potassium dithiocarbazate salt (0.1 mol) was added slowly in small lots to conc sulfuric acid (2.5 times of salt) at 5 °C with constant stirring. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min, and the resulting viscous liquid was poured over crushed ice slowly. The solid obtained was filtered and washed and dried to get the title compound. The compound was recrystallized in DMF to yield colorless prisms.

Refinement

All the H atoms were positioned geometrically (C—H = 0.93–0.97 Å) and refined as riding with $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ or $1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{methyl C})$.

Figures




Fig. 1. The molecular structure of (I). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level and H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii.




Fig. 2. The crystal packing for (I).

5-(4-Pyridyl)-1,3,4-thiadiazole-2(3H)-thione

Crystal data

$C_7H_5N_3S_2$

$M_r = 195.26$

Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$

Hall symbol: -P 2ybc

$a = 7.837$ (3) Å

$b = 15.971$ (5) Å

$c = 6.694$ (2) Å

$\beta = 103.680$ (4)°

$V = 814.1$ (5) Å³

$Z = 4$

$F(000) = 400$

$D_x = 1.593$ Mg m⁻³

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 2887 reflections

$\theta = 2.6$ – 27.9 °

$\mu = 0.59$ mm⁻¹

$T = 113$ K

Prism, colorless

$0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.08$ mm

Data collection

Rigaku Saturn CCD
diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
graphite

Detector resolution: 14.63 pixels mm⁻¹

ω and ϕ scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan
(*CrystalClear*; Rigaku/MSO, 2005)

$T_{\min} = 0.891$, $T_{\max} = 0.954$

8141 measured reflections

1928 independent reflections

1642 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.033$

$\theta_{\max} = 27.8$ °, $\theta_{\min} = 2.6$ °

$h = -10 \rightarrow 10$

$k = -20 \rightarrow 21$

$l = -8 \rightarrow 8$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2

Least-squares matrix: full

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct
methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

$$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.028$$

$$wR(F^2) = 0.076$$

$$S = 1.06$$

1928 reflections

113 parameters

1 restraint

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

$$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0461P)^2]$$

$$\text{where } P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$$

$$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$$

$$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.44 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$$

$$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.21 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
S1	0.40446 (4)	0.02171 (2)	0.20702 (6)	0.01704 (11)
S2	0.60139 (5)	-0.14291 (2)	0.26909 (6)	0.02389 (13)
N1	0.27180 (16)	-0.11033 (7)	0.30185 (19)	0.0159 (3)
N2	0.13949 (15)	-0.05360 (7)	0.28751 (18)	0.0151 (2)
N3	-0.14570 (15)	0.23252 (7)	0.15350 (18)	0.0154 (3)
C1	0.42543 (17)	-0.08492 (8)	0.2646 (2)	0.0159 (3)
C2	0.19024 (17)	0.01952 (8)	0.2396 (2)	0.0138 (3)
C3	0.07477 (17)	0.09310 (8)	0.2109 (2)	0.0138 (3)
C4	0.13479 (18)	0.17060 (8)	0.1615 (2)	0.0160 (3)
H4	0.2515	0.1772	0.1463	0.019*
C5	0.02020 (18)	0.23800 (8)	0.1349 (2)	0.0159 (3)
H5	0.0617	0.2909	0.1018	0.019*
C6	-0.20252 (18)	0.15758 (8)	0.2012 (2)	0.0156 (3)
H6	-0.3202	0.1529	0.2145	0.019*
C7	-0.09795 (17)	0.08668 (9)	0.2320 (2)	0.0156 (3)
H7	-0.1426	0.0348	0.2667	0.019*
H1	0.244 (3)	-0.1638 (6)	0.325 (3)	0.047 (6)*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
S1	0.01417 (18)	0.01237 (18)	0.0254 (2)	-0.00003 (12)	0.00638 (15)	0.00162 (13)
S2	0.0190 (2)	0.0213 (2)	0.0318 (3)	0.00798 (14)	0.00682 (17)	0.00402 (15)

supplementary materials

N1	0.0171 (6)	0.0109 (5)	0.0204 (7)	0.0014 (4)	0.0058 (5)	0.0016 (5)
N2	0.0171 (6)	0.0117 (5)	0.0170 (6)	0.0013 (5)	0.0048 (5)	0.0001 (4)
N3	0.0164 (6)	0.0132 (6)	0.0158 (6)	-0.0001 (5)	0.0021 (5)	-0.0016 (4)
C1	0.0169 (7)	0.0139 (6)	0.0162 (7)	0.0003 (5)	0.0025 (5)	-0.0005 (5)
C2	0.0142 (6)	0.0137 (6)	0.0137 (7)	-0.0005 (5)	0.0039 (5)	-0.0014 (5)
C3	0.0160 (7)	0.0136 (6)	0.0115 (7)	0.0002 (5)	0.0026 (5)	-0.0014 (5)
C4	0.0146 (7)	0.0158 (7)	0.0179 (7)	-0.0014 (5)	0.0046 (6)	-0.0002 (5)
C5	0.0183 (7)	0.0123 (6)	0.0167 (7)	-0.0022 (5)	0.0037 (6)	0.0000 (5)
C6	0.0142 (6)	0.0170 (7)	0.0158 (7)	-0.0015 (5)	0.0040 (6)	-0.0017 (5)
C7	0.0177 (7)	0.0126 (7)	0.0164 (7)	-0.0025 (5)	0.0042 (6)	-0.0006 (5)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

S1—C2	1.7437 (15)	C2—C3	1.4679 (18)
S1—C1	1.7452 (15)	C3—C4	1.3916 (19)
S2—C1	1.6555 (14)	C3—C7	1.3974 (18)
N1—C1	1.3485 (18)	C4—C5	1.3862 (19)
N1—N2	1.3631 (16)	C4—H4	0.9500
N1—H1	0.902 (9)	C5—H5	0.9500
N2—C2	1.2980 (17)	C6—C7	1.3844 (19)
N3—C5	1.3378 (18)	C6—H6	0.9500
N3—C6	1.3417 (17)	C7—H7	0.9500
C2—S1—C1	89.77 (6)	C7—C3—C2	120.65 (12)
C1—N1—N2	118.98 (11)	C5—C4—C3	118.43 (13)
C1—N1—H1	125.1 (12)	C5—C4—H4	120.8
N2—N1—H1	115.7 (13)	C3—C4—H4	120.8
C2—N2—N1	110.06 (11)	N3—C5—C4	123.48 (12)
C5—N3—C6	117.71 (11)	N3—C5—H5	118.3
N1—C1—S2	127.20 (11)	C4—C5—H5	118.3
N1—C1—S1	107.03 (10)	N3—C6—C7	123.17 (13)
S2—C1—S1	125.77 (8)	N3—C6—H6	118.4
N2—C2—C3	122.50 (12)	C7—C6—H6	118.4
N2—C2—S1	114.16 (10)	C6—C7—C3	118.57 (12)
C3—C2—S1	123.33 (10)	C6—C7—H7	120.7
C4—C3—C7	118.64 (12)	C3—C7—H7	120.7
C4—C3—C2	120.71 (12)		
C1—N1—N2—C2	-0.77 (17)	N2—C2—C3—C7	-0.8 (2)
N2—N1—C1—S2	-178.87 (10)	S1—C2—C3—C7	177.65 (11)
N2—N1—C1—S1	0.50 (15)	C7—C3—C4—C5	-0.1 (2)
C2—S1—C1—N1	-0.08 (10)	C2—C3—C4—C5	179.66 (12)
C2—S1—C1—S2	179.29 (11)	C6—N3—C5—C4	0.3 (2)
N1—N2—C2—C3	179.24 (12)	C3—C4—C5—N3	-0.3 (2)
N1—N2—C2—S1	0.65 (15)	C5—N3—C6—C7	0.1 (2)
C1—S1—C2—N2	-0.34 (11)	N3—C6—C7—C3	-0.5 (2)
C1—S1—C2—C3	-178.92 (12)	C4—C3—C7—C6	0.5 (2)
N2—C2—C3—C4	179.40 (13)	C2—C3—C7—C6	-179.28 (12)
S1—C2—C3—C4	-2.14 (19)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
$N1-H1\cdots N3^i$	0.90 (1)	1.85 (1)	2.7395 (19)	169 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, y-1/2, -z+1/2$.

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

